Small Projects Day - April 7, 2020, Section 2: Resource Specialist Review

Project Name: West Hill Bridge Replacement

A proposed action may be categorically excluded from analysis and documentation in an EA or EIS only if there are no extraordinary circumstances related to that proposed action. Potential presence of extraordinary circumstances must be addressed by the appropriate resource specialists.

| EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES RESOURCE CONDITIONS ¹ | CONDI | RESOURCE MITIGATIONS CONDITIONS REQUIRED PRESENT ² ES NO YES NO | | NAME/ TITLE | | DATE | | |
|--|-------------|--|---|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----|
| Federally listed threatened or endangered species or designated critical habitat (ANIMALS) | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes | | Jeremy Mears, Fish Biologist | 3/31/2020 | | |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one Rationale: | ☐ no | effect | ☐ effect – not adverse ☐ adverse | | | se effe | ect | |
| Forest Service sensitive Species (RFSS-Animals) | | | Jeremy Mears, Fish Biologist | | 3/31/2020 | | | |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | no | effect | ⊠ e | effect – r | not adverse | advei | se effe | ect |
| Rationale: | | | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: If tree removal (greater than 4") is required on FS lands, check with district biological staff regarding bat surveys. This is for both T&E as well as RFSS myotis species. | | | | | | | al | |
| BE Complete-This document serves as the BE. | Υ | N | USFWS Consultation Complete? | | Υ | N | N/A | |
| Date Completed: 3/31/2020 | | | Date Completed: The town will complete this per sect.1. | | | | | |
| Federally listed threatened or endangered species or designated critical habitat (PLANTS) | | Х | | | | | | |
| Forest Service sensitive Species (RFSS-Plants) | | | MB Deller/ March Botanist 2020 | | | larch 2 2020 | | |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ no | ☐ no effect ☐ effect – not adverse ☐ adverse effect | | | | | ect | |
| Rationale: None known to occur there, poten | tial habita | at no like | ly | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: None | | | | | | | | |
| BE Complete? | Υ | N | | | | | | |
| Date Completed: March 27, 2020 | \boxtimes | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

² The mere presence of one or more of these resource conditions does not preclude use of a categorical exclusion. It is the degree of the potential effect of a proposed action on these resource conditions that determines whether extraordinary circumstance exist.



¹ FSH 1909.15 Section 30



| EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES | RESO CONDI | TIONS | IS REQUIRED | | NAME/ | DATE |
|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|----------------|
| RESOURCE CONDITIONS ¹ | PRES YES | NO | YES | NO | TITLE | |
| Flood plains | | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes | Jeremy Mears, Fish Biologist | 3/31/2020 |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ☐ no | effect | □ e | effect – n | ot adverse | adverse effect |
| Rationale: | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes | Gifford, Ecologist and Wildlife Biologist | 3/30/20 |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ no | effect | □ € | effect – r | not adverse | adverse effect |
| Rationale: Not present | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: None | | | | | | |
| | Y N | | Not f | for wetla | nds. | |
| Army Corps of Engineer permit needed? | | - / | Stream: | GP 8, S | V eligible) | |
| | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Municipal watersheds | | \boxtimes | | | Jeremy Mears,FishBiologist | 3/31/2020 |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ no | effect | e | effect – r | not adverse | adverse effect |
| Rationale: | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Designated Wilderness Areas Wilderness Name: Joseph Battell | | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes | Holly Knox, Recreation Program Manager | 3/17/2020 |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ no | effect | e | effect – r | not adverse | adverse effect |
| Rationale: Project area located over 1.2 mile | s from the | e nearest | Wilderr | ness: Jos | seph Battell | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | | | | | · | |
| | | | | | | |
| Designated National Recreation Areas NRA Name: Moosalamoo | | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes | Holly Knox, Recreation Program Manager | 3/17/2020 |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ no | effect | □ € | effect – r | not adverse | adverse effect |
| Rationale: Project area located over 4.5 mile | s from ne | arest Na | tional Re | ecreation | n Area: Moosalamoo | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | | | | | | |





| EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES RESOURCE CONDITIONS ¹ | RESOURCE CONDITIONS PRESENT ² | | | ATIONS JIRED | NAME/ TITLE | DATE |
|---|--|-------------|-----|-----------------|--|----------------|
| | YES | NO | YES | NO | | |
| National Scenic Trails Trail Name: Appalachian | | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes | Holly Knox, Recreation Program Manager | 3/17/2020 |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ no | effect | e | effect – n | not adverse | adverse effect |
| Rationale: Project area is located over 12 miles from the Appalachian National Scenic Trail. | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Eligible Wild, Scenic, Recreational Rivers Type (s) Recreational River Name(s): White River | | \boxtimes | | | Holly Knox, Recreation Program Manager | 3/17/2020 |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ no | effect | e | effect – n | not adverse | adverse effect |
| Rationale: Project area is located over 4 miles from the nearest eligible Wild, Scenic and Recreational River (eWSR): the White River eWSR. The activity is located outside of the river corridor; as a result, the effects from the project associated with the outstandingly remarkable values identified for this river are not expected to be adverse, and thus will not preclude it from future consideration for addition to the National Wild and Scenic River System. | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | | | | | | |
| | | | ı | | | |
| Roadless Area Conservation Rule Areas (RACR) | | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes | Shawn Langston, NEPA Planner | 3/16/2020 |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ no | effect | □ e | effect – n | not adverse | adverse effect |
| Rationale: The project area is not located wit | hin a RA(| CR area. | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | | | | | | |
| | | | T | T | | l |
| Research Natural Areas (RNAs) RNA Name(s) | | | | | Gifford, Ecologist and Wildlife Biologist | 3/30/20 |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ no | effect | □ e | effect – n | not adverse | adverse effect |
| Rationale: Not present. | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: None. | | | | | | |





| EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES RESOURCE CONDITIONS ¹ | CONDITIONS REQUIRED PRESENT ² YES NO YES NO | | NAME/ TITLE | DATE | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| American Indians and Alaska Native religious or cultural sites | | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes | Andrew Triplett/ Tribal Liaison | March 31, 2020 |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ no | o effect | e | ffect – n | ot adverse | adverse effect |
| Rationale: | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Archaeological sites, or historic properties or areas | | | | | Andrew Triplett/ Forest Archeologist | March 31, 2020 |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ no | o effect | □ e | effect – n | ot adverse | adverse effect |
| Rationale: If historic properties are found with the resource or mitigate it. | nin the pr | roject area | a then pi | oject wil | I need to be modified | to either protect |
| Describe Mitigations required: The Town will | need to | contract a | ın archa | eology s | urvey for this project. | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | T | | T | | 1 | |
| OTHER RESOURCE CONDITIONS (as applicable) | COND PRE | OURCE DITIONS SENT NO | _ | ATIONS JIRED NO | NAME/ TITLE | DATE |
| | COND | ITIONS SENT | REQU | JIRED | - | DATE 3/29/20 |
| (as applicable) | COND PRE YES | ITIONS SENT | YES | NO | A. Quintana, Soil Scientist | |
| (as applicable) Soils | COND PRE YES notermaner | o effect | YES ightharpoonup representation in area civity. For | NO fect – no as includerest plant | A. Quintana, Soil Scientist at adverse ded in the road prism a standards and guide | 3/29/20 adverse effect , those areas are |
| (as applicable) Soils Level of anticipated effect - Check one Rationale: Although soil productivity will be p not considered part of the permanent land ba | cond PRE YES notermanent ase for so oil resourcing and proved by absorbed build spill. | o effect otly reduce oil product refueling oy a Foresent material Acceptable | YES A effect in are civity. For ide of the construct of the construct als shall ble abso | NO fect – no as includerest planteroad potential eroad eroa | A. Quintana, Soil Scientist It adverse add in the road prism a standards and guiderism. Inipment must be local aks from such equipment the job site (where) | 3/29/20 adverse effect , those areas are elines and ted at least 50 feet ment shall be e such equipment |
| (as applicable) Soils Level of anticipated effect - Check one Rationale: Although soil productivity will be p not considered part of the permanent land ba mitigations will minimize negative effects to some describe Mitigations required: Sites for service away from the top of the stream bank and ap repaired immediately. A supply of acceptable is used) for use in the event of a hazardous for the stream of the stream bank and apprepaired immediately. | cond PRE YES notermanent ase for so oil resourcing and proved by absorbed build spill. | o effect otly reduce oil product refueling oy a Foresent material Acceptable | YES A effect in are civity. For ide of the construct of the construct als shall ble abso | NO fect – no as includerest planteroad potential eroad eroa | A. Quintana, Soil Scientist It adverse add in the road prism a standards and guiderism. Inipment must be local aks from such equipment the job site (where) | 3/29/20 adverse effect , those areas are elines and ted at least 50 feet ment shall be e such equipment |
| (as applicable) Soils Level of anticipated effect - Check one Rationale: Although soil productivity will be p not considered part of the permanent land ba mitigations will minimize negative effects to some describe Mitigations required: Sites for service away from the top of the stream bank and ap repaired immediately. A supply of acceptable is used) for use in the event of a hazardous for the stream of the stream bank and apprepaired immediately. | cond PRE YES notermanent ase for so oil resourcing and proved by absorbed build spill. | o effect otly reduce oil product refueling oy a Foresent material Acceptable | YES A effect in are civity. For ide of the construct of the construct als shall ble abso | NO fect – no as includerest planteroad potential eroad eroa | A. Quintana, Soil Scientist It adverse add in the road prism a standards and guiderism. Inipment must be local aks from such equipment the job site (where) | 3/29/20 adverse effect , those areas are elines and ted at least 50 feet ment shall be e such equipment |





| OTHER RESOURCE CONDITIONS (as applicable) | CONE | OURCE DITIONS ESENT | S REQUIRED | | NAME/ TITLE | DATE | |
|--|-------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------|---|-----------------|--|
| (ше срриешие) | YES | NO | YES | NO | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Visuals | \boxtimes | | | \boxtimes | Emily Lauderdale, Landscape Architect | 3/25/2020 | |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ☐ n | o effect | ⊠ e | ffect – n | ot adverse | adverse effect | |
| Rationale: proposed activities will not have la stock piling and construction will be short terr | | | | | ctives. Visual effects | due to material | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Recreation | | | | \boxtimes | Holly Knox, Recreation Program Manager | 3/17/2020 | |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | _ n | o effect | ⊠ e | ffect – n | ot adverse | adverse effect | |
| Rationale: Access to trail and National Forest dispersed recreation opportunities will be limited for a short duration of time within the localized project area. Similar recreation opportunities are available in close proximity to the project area. | | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Fisheries | \boxtimes | | | \boxtimes | Jeremy Mears, Fish Biologist | 3/31/2020 | |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | n | o effect | ⊠ e | ffect – n | ot adverse | adverse effect | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Wildlife | \boxtimes | | | \boxtimes | Gifford, Ecologist and Wildlife Biologist | 3/30/20 | |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ne | o effect | ⊠ e | ffect – n | ot adverse | adverse effect | |
| Rationale: This area identified as a High Priority crossing in Vermont Critical Pathways project report (Leoniak et al 2009) | | | | | | | |





| OTHER RESOURCE CONDITIONS (as applicable) | RESOURCE MITIGATIONS CONDITIONS REQUIRED PRESENT | | NAME/ TITLE | DATE | | | | |
|---|--|----------|----------------|-----------|---|----------------|--|--|
| (as applicable) | YES | NO | YES | NO | IIICC | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: Explore the opportunity to use a wildlife-passage friendly design at this high priority crossing area Update: After a site visit on 4/10/20 and desktop review by VFWD, the site does not hold great potential to improve wildlife crossing since it is off of Rt73 and not on the part of the hill where moose crossings have been observed. No mitigation needed. SJG 5/20/2020 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ecological (Special Areas; Significant Ecological Features; Ecosystem Composition, Structure & Function) | \boxtimes | | | | Gifford, Ecologist and Wildlife Biologist | 3/30/20 | | |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ☐ ne | o effect | ⊠ e | ffect – n | ot adverse | adverse effect | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: None. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Non-native Invasive Plants | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes | | MB Deller | March 27, 2020 | | |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ n | o effect | □ е | ffect – n | ot adverse | adverse effect | | |
| Rationale: Mitigations expected to work | | | | | | | | |
| Describe Mitigations required: All equipment brought in to implement the project must be clean prior to accessing the site. To prevent spreading wild chervil in the project area, wild chervil must be prevented from flowering and going to seed. Implementers, or town staff, may choose one of the following: 1. Hand pull wild chervil plants prior to implementation, and again as needed, throughout implementation. The extent of the area to be pulled would be the extent of the area where equipment and materials are staged, plus the extent of the area where implementers are working. Pile pulled plants on site, away from the stream or any vehicle or foot traffic, cover with weed fabric weighted by branches or logs, and monitor for escaped plants. 2. Mow or weed-whack the affected area as described above every 10 days or as needed so that wild chervil never has the chance to flower. Regardless of choice of method of control, consult with botany staff regarding safety measures for handling wild chervil prior to start of control work. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Range (FLNF) | | | | | Matt Lark, Natural Resource Specialist | 04/07/20 | | |
| Level of anticipated effect - Check one | ⊠ no | o effect | е | ffect – n | ot adverse | adverse effect | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | | | |





| OTHER RESOURCE CONDITIONS CONDITIONS REQUESTED PRESENT | |
|--|----|
| YES NO YES | NO |
| | |
| Describe Mitigations required: | |

| OTHER CONSIDERATIONS (as applicable) | INVOLVEMENT REQUIRED YES NO | | NAME/ TITLE | DATE | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Timber | \boxtimes | | David Haberl/ Pre-Sale Forester | 3/27/2020 | | | |
| Remarks: Assist, as needed, for designating trees for removal through firewood permit or small sale. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Fire/Fuels | | \boxtimes | LR Silvia, Fuels Specialist | 04/07/20 | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Engineering | \boxtimes | | Brian Austin/FE | 3/29/2020 | | | |
| Remarks: GMFL Engineering is involved as t | he proje | ct steward | for FHWA. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Accessibility/Safety | | \boxtimes | Donna Marks Safety&OccupHealth | 3/31/2020 | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Survey/Landline | \boxtimes | | Brian Austin/Staff Officer | 3/29/2020 | | | |
| Remarks: Survey any boundaries or rights-of | -way. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

QUESTIONS FOR PROPONENT:

NOTES:

- This project needs to happen to be able to accommodate Robinson IRP timber sales.
- FS volunteered to do NEPA for the Town.
- Angie Quintana wants to review the project once it's completely fleshed out





- Suzanne Gifford asked if wildlife could have input on the design?
 - o It's the towns project, we don't have rights or standing to comment on design
- This is John Sinclair's decision
- Suzanne wants field visit
- Jay Strand noted we should make this an adaptive decision
- Chris Mattrick stated he would like to see both projects written into the proposal and record the actual project once finished.

Responsible Official: John Sinclair

Documentation Level and CE Category (DM, LTF): DM, E3, D4

Who to include for scoping: Residents of West Road, Town of Rochester, VTrans, White River Partnership

Forest Plan consistency: Yes

Field visit (who & when): Eco

Desired decision date: September 2020

Desired implementation date: July 2021

